IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHRIS NEWMAN

110 Orchard Street

Mt. Vernon, New York 10552

Plaintiff

- VS-

CIVIL ACTION

9 Index-No. CIV

5622

METRO-NORTH COMMUTER

RAILROAD

347 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10017

AND

JONES LANG LaSALLE


25 Vanderbilt Avenue

Hall 1-A

New York, New York 10017

Defendant

COMPLAINT



Plaintiff, Chris Newman claims of the defendant a sum in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00) Dollars in damages, plus costs and disbursements, upon a cause of action whereof the following is a statement:

- 1. Plaintiff, Chris Newman, is a citizen and resident of Mt. Vernon, New York 10552.
- 2. Defendant, Metro-North Commuter Railroad, at all times herein mentioned, was a corporation duly organized and existing according to law, engaged and engaging in the business of owning and operating a line and systems of railroads and railroad properties as a common carrier by railroad of goods and passengers for hire in interstate commerce, and transportation in, through and between various and several states of the United States, and doing business and having an office in the City of New York, State of New York.

- 3. Defendant, Jones Lang LaSalle, is alleged and therefore averred to be a corporation, partnership, and/or other business entity, licenced to transact business in the State of New York, with their regular place of business located therein at 25 Vanderbilt Avenue, Hall 1-A, New York, New York 10017.
- 4. At all times relevant thereto, defendant, Jones Lang LaSalle was acting as an agent, servant, workmen, contractor, and/or a subcontractor of defendant, Metro-North Commuter Railroad.

 In the alternative, defendant, Jones Lang LaSalle was acting as an independent contractor of defendant, Metro-North Commuter Railroad.

COUNT - I Chris Newman v. Metro North Commuter Railroad

- 4. Plaintiff incorporates the aforementioned averments as if fully set forth in length.
- 5. Plaintiff, at all times mentioned and for sometime prior thereto, was in the employment of the defendant as a mason foreman in its business of interstate commerce and transportation by railroad.
- 6. This action is brought under the Federal Employers' Liability Act, 45 U.S.C.A., §51-60.
- 7. On or about July 17, 2005, at Grand Central Terminal, plaintiff was traversing the "D" Hall stairs when he was caused to slip, trip and otherwise fall to the ground by way of the presence of water and/or other liquid substance on the stairs, thereby causing him to suffer severe and serious injuries and damages through the course and scope of his employment with defendant, Metro-North Commuter Railroad.
 - 8. At the said time, defendant, Metro-North Commuter Railroad was guilty of the

following acts of negligence and unlawful conduct which directly and proximately caused the accident and injuries in question:

- a. failure to maintain and repair the premises, specifically the floors and stairs, in a condition which would protect and safeguard persons lawfully upon said premises;
- b. permitting said premises, specifically the floors and stairs, to become and remain defective so as to constitute a menace, danger, nuisance and/or trap to persons lawfully upon said premises;
- c. failure to have the premises, specifically the floors and stairs, inspected at reasonable intervals in order to determine the condition of same;
- d. allowing the presence of water or other liquid and/or other defective conditions to remain on said premises;
- e. failure to warn persons lawfully upon said premises of the dangerous conditions existing thereon;
- f. failure to barricade and/or otherwise post warning signs so as to protect and safeguard plaintiff and other persons lawfully upon said premises;
 - g. failure to provide a safe workplace.
- 9. The injuries in question were caused solely by the negligence of defendant's servants, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors and/or independent contractors, and were in no way caused by any negligence of plaintiff.
- 10. As a direct and proximately result of defendant's negligence and unlawful conduct, plaintiff was caused to suffer personal injuries.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00) Dollars, plus costs and disbursements incurred herein.

<u>COUNT -I I</u> Chris Newman v. Jones Lang LaSalle

- 11. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the aforementioned averments as if fully set forth in length.
- 12. At the said time, defendant, Jones Lang LaSalle was guilty of the following acts of negligence and unlawful conduct which directly and proximately caused the accident and injuries in question:
- a. failure to maintain and repair the premises, specifically the floors and stairs, in a condition which would protect and safeguard persons lawfully upon said premises;
- b. permitting said premises, specifically the floors and stairs, to become and remain defective so as to constitute a menace, danger, nuisance and/or trap to persons lawfully upon said premises;
- c. failure to have the premises, specifically the floors and stairs, inspected at reasonable intervals in order to determine the condition of same;
- d. allowing the presence of water or other liquid and/or other defective conditions to remain on said premises;
- e. failure to warn persons lawfully upon said premises of the dangerous conditions existing thereon;
- f. failure to barricade and/or otherwise post warning signs so as to protect and safeguard plaintiff and other persons lawfully upon said premises;
- 13. The injuries in question were caused solely by the negligence of defendants, its servants, agents and/or employees, and were in no way caused by any negligence of plaintiff.
 - 14. As a direct and proximately result of defendant's negligence and unlawful conduct,

plaintiff was caused to suffer personal injuries.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00) Dollars, plus costs and disbursements incurred herein.

MICHAEL ZHU, ESQUIRE, P.C.

Page 5 of 7

by:

MICHAEL ZHU, ESQUIRE

Attorney for the Plaintiff
14 Wall Street Street, 22nd Floor

New York, NY 10005 212-227-2245

212-227-2265(fax)

OF COUNSEL:

BARISH ◆ ROSENTHAL

PARTICULAR DESCRIPTION OF COUNSEL:

RUDOLPH V. DeGEORGE, II, ESQUIRE Bell Atlantic Tower 1717 Arch Street, Suite 4020 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215 923-8900/ fax 215 351-0593

VERIFICATION

I, MICHAEL ZHU, Esquire, hereby states that I am the attorney for the Plaintiff herein and verifies that the statements made in the foregoing Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief; and that this statement is made subject to the penalties relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 6/12/07

MICHAEL ZHU, ESQUIRE MH2750C

Case 1:07-cv-05622-DAB Document 14-2 Filed 11/15/2007 Page 7 of 7 RJI No. Index No. Year Hon. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CHRIS NEWMAN, Plaintiff, -against-METRO-NORTH COMMUTER RAILROAD and JONES LANG LaSALLE Defendants. VERIFIED COMPLAINT MICHAEL H. ZHU, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff Chris Newman Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 14 WALL STREET, 22ND FLOOR NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005 (212) 227-2245

То	Signature (Rule 130-1.1-a)
Attorney(s) for	Print name beneath
Service of a copy of the within	is hereby admitted.
Dated,	Attorney(s) for
Please take notice	

Please take notice

NOTICE OF ENTRY

that the within is a (certified) true copy of a

duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT

that an order

of which the within is a true copy will be presented for one of the judges

settlement to the HON. of the within named court, at

at

M

Dated.

To

Yours, etc.

MICHAEL H. ZHU, ESQ.

Attorneys for plaintiff Chris Newman Office and Post Office Address 14 WALL STREET, 22ND FLOOR

Attorney(s) for

New York, N.Y. 10005